

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/671,468	09/27/2000		Kazutomo Hasegawa	FUSA 17.792	7120	
26304	7590	04/15/2005		EXAMINER		
		ZAVIS ROSENM	RYMAN, DANIEL J			
575 MADISONEW YORK				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
N2 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				2665		
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/671,468	HASEGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel J. Ryman	2665		

	Daniel J. Ryman	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	ths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below that the proposed in th	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphane / amonamone	(1 102 024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		rill be entered and an	explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-12</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u>	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:		Alja	m v3. v3
		Al Pi	IS H. HSU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that "Quereshi's modem is not directed to specifying a crosstalk interval, but rather to establish synchronization for identifying different training sequences." Therefore, Applicant asserts that Quereshi does not disclose transmitting timing information that "specifies an interval in which effects of crosstalk from a neighboring line are received."

Here, Applicant is arguing against references individually when the rejection is based upon a combination of references. Thus, Examiner agrees that Quereshi does not expressly disclose that the timing information specifies an interval in which effects of crosstalk from a neighboring line are received; however, Examiner only relies on Quereshi to teach transmitting timing information. Examiner relies upon Long to teach the use of timing information which specifies an interval in which effects of crosstalk from a neighboring line are received. Therefore, it is the combination of Long and Quereshi that discloses transmitting timing that specifies an interval in which effects of crosstalk from a neighboring line are received.

For the above reasons, Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims.